

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,482	02/07/2002	Zairen Sun	IU 102 R1	7159
26400 7	590 08/27/2003			
ORIGENE TECHNOLOGIES, INCORPORATED 6 TAFT COURT SUITE 100			EXAMINER	
			YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H	
ROCKVILLE, MD 20850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	/
		1	DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	þ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	· _ `						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/067,482	SUN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Christopher H Yaen	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH THE: - Exte - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replace of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period irre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rooty within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07	February 2002 .					
2a)□		his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
•	ion of Claims						
	4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
· _	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastian naminamasat					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	election requirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
, i	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		he Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approved b)∏ d	isapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bushes the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/067,482

Art Unit: 1642

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-5, drawn to an isolated polynucleotide sequence which encodes a protein set forth in SEQ ID No: 2, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
  - II. Claims 6-9, drawn to an isolated polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID No: 2, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
  - III. Claims 10-12, drawn to a method of treating vascular disease comprising the administration of a therapeutic agent, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.
  - IV. Claims 13-14, drawn to a method of identifying an agent that modulates the expression of ANH401 comprising contacting cells with an agent and determining whether the agent modulates, classified in class 436, subclass 500.
  - V. Claims 15-16, drawn to a method of determining the angiogenic index of a sample comprising assessing the expression level of ANH401 by PCR, classified in class 436, subclass 7.1.
  - VI. Claims 15 and 17, drawn to a method of determining the angiogenic index of a sample comprising assessing the expression level of ANH401 by using specific antibodies, classified in class 436, subclass 512.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,482

Art Unit: 1642

- VII. Claims 18, and 21-24, drawn to a method of regulating angiogenesis comprising the administration of a modulator of ANH401 polynucleotide, classified in class 536, subclass 24.5.
- VIII. Claims 18-24, drawn to a method of regulating angiogenesis comprising the administration of a modulator of ANH401 polypeptide, classified in class 424, subclass 134.1.
- IX. Claim 25, drawn to a non-human transgenic mammal comprising ANH401, classified in class 800, subclass 8.
- X. Claim 26, drawn to a method of advertising ANH401 comprising displaying in computer readable format the sequence of SEQ ID No: 1 or 2, classified in class D20, subclass 99.
- XI. Claim 27, drawn to an antibody specific for an amino acids 303-308 of ANH401, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I,II, IX and XI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions differ one from the other because the different inventions are drawn to a polynucleotide, polypeptide, transgenic animal, and antibody of which have differ structures, functions, chemical make-up, characteristics, and physical attributes.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,482 Page 4

Art Unit: 1642

3. Inventions III-VIII and X are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions differ one from the other because the methods steps, ingredients, purpose, function, outcomes, and effects are distinct and separate all of which are considered patentable distinct.

- 4. Inventions I and V, VII, and X are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used as an analytical tool in vitro to help in the discovery of down stream effects and aid in the discovery of function.
- 5. Inventions II and III, IV, X are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used for the extraction and isolation of related and or associated proteins in chromatography separation or extraction.
- 6. Inventions XI and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/067,482

Art Unit: 1642

process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the antibody can be used in detecting the protein in a western blot or in immunocytochemistry.

- 7. Inventions I and III, IV, VI, VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions differ one from the other because the product is a nucleotide and the method is drawn to the use of either a peptide or and antibody.
- 8. Inventions II and V, VI, VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions differ one from the other because the product is drawn to a polypeptide and the method is drawn to the use of either a polynucleotide or an antibody.
- 9. Inventions XI and III, IV, V, VII, VIII, and IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions differ one from the other because the product is drawn to an antibody and the methods are drawn to the use of either a polynucleotide or polypeptide.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,482 Page 6

Art Unit: 1642

10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

11. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

If applicant elects either group VII or VIII, applicant must elect <u>one</u> disease from claim 24 (i.e. cancer, coronary artery disease, myocardial ischemia, or coronary arteriosclerosis).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 24 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/067,482

Art Unit: 1642

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 12. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher H Yaen whose telephone number is 703-305-3586. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,482 Page 8

Art Unit: 1642

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Christopher Yaen Art Unit 1642 August 13, 2003

Gan Febrico